

The Auslander-Reiten conjecture
for certain non-Gorenstein Cohen-Macaulay rings

WVU Algebra Seminar via Zoom

Shinya Kumashiro (Chiba University)
(available on skumashiro.com)

§4. Introduction 2.

Def. We say that R satisfies **(ARC)** if,
for any f.g. R -mod. M ,
 $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(M, M \oplus R) = 0 \Rightarrow M$ is proj.

Setting

- (R, \mathfrak{m}) : Noeth. local ring.
- M : f.g. R -mod.
- \mathfrak{a} : ideal of R generated by an R -reg. seq.

Fact $R : (\text{ARC}) \Leftrightarrow R_{\mathcal{Q}} : (\text{ARC})$

Question For $d > 0$,
 $R : (\text{ARC}) \Leftrightarrow R_{\mathcal{Q}^e} : (\text{ARC}) ?$

Motivations of Question :

(0) If $d > 1$, then $R_{\mathcal{Q}^e}$ is neither
a Gorenstein ring nor a domain.

(1) Let

- $S : \text{CM local ring.}$
- $\{x_{ij}\}_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} : \text{reg. seq. of } S.$

($m \leq n$).

Set

- $I = I_m(x_{ij}) : \text{determinantal ideal}$
(i.e. I is the ideal of S generated by
 m -minors of the $m \times n$ matrix
 (x_{ij}))

• $R = S_{\mathcal{I}}$.

Then

Fact (i) [Eagon - Northcott]

R is a CM ring.

(ii) $\exists Q$: par. ideal of R and $\exists l > 0$
s.t. $R/Q \simeq S'/Q'^l$, where

• $S' = S / (\text{reg. seq. of } S)$ and

• Q' : par. ideal of S'

Ex Let • $S = \mathbb{k} \llbracket x_1, x_2, \dots, x_6 \rrbracket$.

$$\bullet I = I_2 \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ x_4 & x_5 & x_6 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= (x_1 x_5 - x_2 x_4, x_1 x_6 - x_3 x_4, x_2 x_6 - x_3 x_5).$$

Set • $R = S/I$

$$\bullet Q = (x_1, x_4, x_2 - x_4, x_3 - x_5).$$

Then $R/QR \simeq S' / (x_2, x_3)^2 S'$, where $S' = S/Q$.

(2) Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a CM local ring.

Then

$$v(R) - \dim R + 1 \leq e(R)$$

holds. We say R has min. multi.

(or max. emb. dim.)

if " $=$ " holds.

Fact (Sally)

(i) If $\exists \mathfrak{Q} \subset \mathfrak{m} : \text{par. red. (e.g. } |\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{m}| = \infty)$,

then R has min. multi. $\Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{m}^2 = \mathfrak{Q}\mathfrak{m}$.

(ii) If $\mathfrak{m}^2 = \mathfrak{Q}\mathfrak{m}$ for $\exists \mathfrak{Q} : \text{par. red. and}$

$\exists (S, \mathfrak{n}) \twoheadrightarrow (R, \mathfrak{m}) : \text{ring. hom. ,}$

$\overset{\cdot\cdot}{\text{RLR of dim } v(R)}$.

(e.g. R is complete)

then $R/\mathfrak{Q} \simeq S/\mathfrak{n}^2$.

- (3) Let
- R : CM local ring of dim d .
 - $\mathcal{Q} = (a_1, \dots, a_d)$: par. ideal of R
 - $R[\mathcal{Q}t]$: Rees algebra.

Then | Fact [Barshy]

$$R[\mathcal{Q}t] \simeq \frac{R[x_1, \dots, x_d]}{I_2 \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \dots & x_d \\ a_1 & \dots & a_d \end{pmatrix}}$$

- (4) Let
- R : CM local ring

Then | Fact [Herzog]

$$R[t^a, t^b, t^c] \simeq \left\{ \begin{array}{l} R[x, y, z] / \text{(reg. seq. of } R[x, y, z]) \\ \text{or} \\ R[x, y, z] / I_2 \begin{pmatrix} x^{\alpha_1} & y^{\alpha_2} & z^{\alpha_3} \\ y^{\beta_2} & z^{\beta_3} & x^{\beta_1} \end{pmatrix} \end{array} \right.$$

§ 5. Main theorem.

Thm [K]

Let $\circ (R, \mathfrak{m})$: Gorenstein local ring.

$\circ x_1, \dots, x_n$: reg. seq. of R .

$\circ \mathcal{Q} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

$\circ l > 0$.

Consider the following conditions.

(1) R : (ARC).

(2) $R/\mathcal{Q}e$: (ARC).

Then (2) \Rightarrow (1) holds.

(1) \Rightarrow (2) holds if $l \leq n$.

Lem Let $\circ (R, \mathfrak{m})$: Noeth. local ring

$\circ \mathfrak{I}$: \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal of R

$\circ M$: f.g. R -mod.

$\circ N$: f.g. R/\mathfrak{I} -mod.

(1) Suppose that R/\mathfrak{I} is Gorenstein.

Then $\text{Ext}_R^{>0}(M, R/\mathfrak{I}) = 0 \Rightarrow \text{Tor}_{>0}^R(M, R/\mathfrak{I}) = 0$

(2) $\text{Tor}_{>0}^R(M, R/\mathfrak{I}) = 0$

$\Rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) \simeq \text{Ext}_{R/\mathfrak{I}}^i(M/\mathfrak{I}M, N)$

for $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof of Lem)

Let $F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be a min. R -free res. of M .

(1): It follows from

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, R/I) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(F_0, R/I)$$

$\downarrow \qquad \quad \downarrow \qquad \downarrow$

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R/I}(M/I M, R/I) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R/I}(F_0/I F_0, R/I).$$

(2): It follows from

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(F_0, N)$$

$\downarrow \qquad \quad \downarrow \qquad \downarrow$

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R/I}(M/I M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R/I}(F_0/I F_0, N).$$

Proof of Thm:

For each implications, we may assume that \mathcal{Q} is a par. ideal of R .

If $\dim R/\mathcal{Q} > 0$, $\exists a \in R$: NZD of R/\mathcal{Q} .

Then, by passing to $R \rightarrow R/aR$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \downarrow \cap \downarrow \\ & & R/\mathcal{Q}^e \rightarrow R/aR + \mathcal{Q}^e, \end{array}$$

we may assume $\dim R/\mathcal{Q} = 0$.

We may also assume that $n \geq 2$ and $l \geq 2$.

Our main tool is the following ex. seq.

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}/\mathcal{Q}^2 \rightarrow R/\mathcal{Q}^2 \rightarrow R/\mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^2/\mathcal{Q}^3 \rightarrow R/\mathcal{Q}^3 \rightarrow R/\mathcal{Q}^2 \rightarrow 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^{l-2}/\mathcal{Q}^{l-1} \rightarrow R/\mathcal{Q}^{l-1} \rightarrow R/\mathcal{Q}^{l-2} \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^{l-1}/\mathcal{Q}^l \rightarrow R/\mathcal{Q}^l \rightarrow R/\mathcal{Q}^{l-1} \rightarrow 0. \end{array} \right)$$

Note that $\mathcal{Q}^i/\mathcal{Q}^{i+1} \simeq (R/\mathcal{Q})^{\oplus \binom{i+n-1}{n-1}}$ for $i \geq 0$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) :

$$\text{WTS: } M: \text{f.g. } R\text{-mod. s.t. } \text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(M, M \otimes R) = 0 \\ \Rightarrow M: R\text{-free}$$

$$\text{Claim } \text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(M, M \otimes R \oplus R \otimes R) = 0 \quad \dots \textcircled{1}$$

Proof of Claim) Apply $\text{Hom}_R(M, -)$ to

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{x_1} R \rightarrow R/x_1R \rightarrow 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \rightarrow R/(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \xrightarrow{x_n} R/(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \rightarrow R/Q \rightarrow 0 \end{array} \right.$$

$$\text{Then } \text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(M, R/Q) = 0$$

Similarly, we have $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(M, M \otimes R) = 0$
since M is a MCM R -mod. //

$$\textcircled{1} \rightsquigarrow \text{len}(1) \quad \text{Tor}_{>0}^R(M, R/Q) = 0$$

$$\textcircled{\star} \rightsquigarrow \text{Tor}_{>0}^R(M, R/Q^i) = 0 \text{ for } \forall i > 0 \dots \textcircled{2}$$

(i.e., M is a lifting of $M \otimes R^i$)

Hence, we get

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \circ \rightarrow (M/QM)^{\oplus n} \rightarrow M/Q^2M \rightarrow M/QM \rightarrow 0 \\
 \circ \rightarrow (M/QM)^{\oplus \binom{2+n-1}{n-1}} \rightarrow M/Q^3M \rightarrow M/Q^2M \rightarrow 0 \\
 \vdots \\
 \circ \rightarrow (M/QM)^{\oplus \binom{k-2+n-1}{n-1}} \rightarrow M/Q^{k-1}M \rightarrow M/Q^{k-2}M \rightarrow 0 \\
 \circ \rightarrow (M/QM)^{\oplus \binom{k-1+n-1}{n-1}} \rightarrow M/Q^kM \rightarrow M/Q^{k-1}M \rightarrow 0.
 \end{array}$$

\parallel
 M

①

$\text{Hom}_R(M, -)$

$$\rightsquigarrow \text{Ext}_R^{>0}(M, M/Q^kM) = 0$$

Similarly, we obtain $\text{Ext}_R^{>0}(M, R/Q^k) = 0$.

$$\text{Thus, } \text{Ext}_R^{>0}(M, M/Q^kM \oplus R/Q^k) = 0$$

lem(2)

$$\text{②} \rightsquigarrow \text{Ext}_{R/Q^k}^{>0}(M/Q^kM, M/Q^kM \oplus R/Q^k) = 0$$

R/Q^k : (ARC)

$$\rightsquigarrow M/Q^kM : R/Q^k\text{-free}$$

②

$$\rightsquigarrow M : R\text{-free}$$



(1) \Rightarrow (2) : Set $R_i := R/\mathfrak{Q}^i$ for $i > 0$.

WTS: N : f.g. R_e -mod. s.t. $\text{Ext}_{R_e}^{\geq 0}(N, N \oplus R_e) = 0$
 $\Rightarrow N$: R_e -free

$$\left(\begin{array}{l}
 \circ \rightarrow R_1^{\oplus n} \rightarrow R_2 \rightarrow R_1 \rightarrow 0 \\
 \vdots \\
 \circ \rightarrow R_1^{\oplus \binom{e-3+n-1}{n-1}} \rightarrow R_{e-2} \rightarrow R_{e-3} \rightarrow 0 \\
 \circ \rightarrow R_1^{\oplus \binom{e-2+n-1}{n-1}} \rightarrow R_{e-1} \rightarrow R_{e-2} \rightarrow 0 \\
 \circ \rightarrow R_1^{\oplus \binom{e-1+n-1}{n-1}} \rightarrow R_e \rightarrow R_{e-1} \rightarrow 0
 \end{array} \right) \text{Hom}_{R_e}(N, \sim)$$

★
★
★

$$\begin{aligned}
 \circ \dots &\rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R_e}^j(N, R_1)^{\oplus n} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R_e}^j(N, R_2) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R_e}^j(N, R_1) \\
 &\rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R_e}^{j+1}(N, R_1)^{\oplus n} \rightarrow \dots \\
 &\quad \vdots
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \circ \dots &\rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R_e}^j(N, R_1)^{\oplus \binom{e-3+n-1}{n-1}} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R_e}^j(N, R_{e-2}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R_e}^j(N, R_{e-3}) \\
 &\rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R_e}^{j+1}(N, R_1)^{\oplus \binom{e-3+n-1}{n-1}} \rightarrow \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \quad \dots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^j(N, R_1)^{\oplus \binom{\ell-2+n-1}{n-1}} &\rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^j(N, R_{\ell-1}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^j(N, R_{\ell-2}) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^{j+1}(N, R_1)^{\oplus \binom{\ell-2+n-1}{n-1}} \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\bullet \quad \text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^j(N, R_{\ell-1}) \simeq \text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^{j+1}(N, R_1)^{\oplus \binom{\ell-1+n-1}{n-1}} \quad \text{for } \forall j \geq 0.$$

$$\text{Set } F_j := \ell_{R_\ell}(\text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^j(N, R_1)) \quad \text{for } j \geq 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{\ell-1+n-1}{n-1} \cdot F_{j+1} &= \ell_{R_\ell}(\text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^j(N, R_{\ell-1})) \\ &\leq \binom{\ell-2+n-1}{n-1} \cdot F_j + \underbrace{\ell_{R_\ell}(\text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^j(N, R_{\ell-2}))}_{1 \wedge} \\ &\quad \binom{\ell-3+n-1}{n-1} \cdot F_j + \ell_{R_\ell}(\text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^j(N, R_{\ell-3})) \\ &\quad \vdots \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{i=0}^{l-2} \binom{i+n-1}{n-1} \right) \cdot E_j$$

$$= \binom{l-1+n}{n} \cdot E_j.$$

Hence $E_{j+1} \leq \frac{\binom{l-2+n}{n}}{\binom{l-1+n-1}{n-1}} E_j$

$$= \underbrace{\frac{l-1}{n}}_{\wedge 1} E_j \quad \text{for } \forall j > 0.$$

by the assumption.

~~~~>  $E_{j+1} = 0$  for  $j > 0$ .

On the other hand, by  $(*)$ , we have

$$E_{j+1} = 0 \Rightarrow E_j = 0 \quad \text{for } \forall j > 0.$$

Therefore,  $E_j = 0$  for  $\forall j > 0$

i.e.  $\text{Ext}_{R_0}^{>0} (N, R_1) = 0$

lem (1)  $\implies \text{Tor}_{>0}^{\text{Re}}(N, R_1) = 0 \quad \dots \textcircled{3}$   
 (i.e.  $N$  is a lifting of  $N/\mathcal{Q}N$ ).

$\textcircled{\star} \perp \text{Hom}_{\text{Re}}(N, \rightarrow)$   
 $\implies \text{Tor}_{>0}^{\text{Re}}(N, R_i) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq l-1$ .

i.e. we have

$\textcircled{\star\star} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0 \rightarrow (M/\mathcal{Q}M)^{\oplus n} \rightarrow M/\mathcal{Q}^2M \rightarrow M/\mathcal{Q}M \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \rightarrow (M/\mathcal{Q}M)^{\oplus \binom{2+n}{n-1}} \rightarrow M/\mathcal{Q}^3M \rightarrow M/\mathcal{Q}^2M \rightarrow 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \rightarrow (M/\mathcal{Q}M)^{\oplus \binom{l-2+n-1}{n-1}} \rightarrow M/\mathcal{Q}^{l-1}M \rightarrow M/\mathcal{Q}^{l-2}M \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \rightarrow (M/\mathcal{Q}M)^{\oplus \binom{l-1+n-1}{n-1}} \rightarrow M/\mathcal{Q}^lM \rightarrow M/\mathcal{Q}^{l-1}M \rightarrow 0 \end{array} \right.$

$\parallel$   
 $M$

by applying  $-\otimes_{\text{Re}} N$  to  $\textcircled{\star}$ .

similarly to  $\text{Ext}_{\text{Re}}^{\geq 0}(N, R_i) = 0$   
 $\implies \text{Ext}_{\text{Re}}^{\geq 0}(N, N/\mathcal{Q}N) = 0$

$\textcircled{2}$  and lem(2)

$\implies \text{Ext}_{R_i}^{\geq 0}(N/\mathcal{Q}N, N/\mathcal{Q}N) = 0$

$R_Q = (\text{ARC})$

$\rightsquigarrow$   $N_{\mathcal{O}} N$  is  $R_Q$ -free

(3)

$\rightsquigarrow$   $N$  is  $R$ -free



## Applications of Theorem

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  : Gor. normal domain.

$\circ$   $\mathcal{Q}$  : par. ideal of  $R$ .

(1) The determinantal ring

$$R[x_{ij}]_{1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n}$$

$$I_m(x_{ij})$$

satisfies (ARC) if  $2m \leq n+1$ .

(2) The Rees alg.  $R[[Q_t]]$

satisfies (ARC).

(3)  $R[[t^a, t^b, t^c]]$  satisfies (ARC).

## §6. generalization of Sally's result.

- $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  : CM local ring
- $I$  :  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal

Def [Goto-Ozeki-Takahashi-Watanabe-Yoshida],

$I$  is called an Ulrich ideal if

- $I^2 = qI$  for  $\exists q \in I$ : par. ideal
- $I/I^2$  is  $R/I$ -free

Thm (K)

Suppose  $\exists I$  : Ulrich ideal s.t.  
 $R/I$  is a complete intersection.

then  $\exists R/q \cong S/Q^2$  : isom. of rings, where

- $q$  : par. red. of  $I$ .
- $S$  : local complete intersection
- $Q$  : par. ideal of  $S$ .

In particular,

$$m^2 = qm \implies R/q \simeq S/q^2$$

$$\implies R = (\text{ARC}) .$$

Proof of Thm)

By passing to  $R \rightarrow R/q$ , we may assume that  $\dim R = 0$  and  $q = 0$ .

Then  $\exists \varphi: (S, n) \longrightarrow (R, m)$  : ring hom.  
RLR of  $\dim v := v(R)$

$$\text{Set } \bullet \bar{\varphi}: S \xrightarrow{\varphi} R \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} R/\mathfrak{I}$$

$$\bullet \mathfrak{J} := \text{Ker } \bar{\varphi} .$$

Since  $S/\mathfrak{J} \simeq R/\mathfrak{I}$  : c.i.,  $\mathfrak{J} = (x_1, \dots, x_r)$ .

On the other hand, since  $\mathfrak{I} \simeq \underbrace{(R/\mathfrak{I})^{\oplus \mu_R(\mathfrak{I})}}_{\text{c.i.}}$

$$\mathfrak{I} = (u) : \mathfrak{I} \simeq \text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{I}, R) .$$

It follows that

$$\mu_R(\mathfrak{I}) = r_R(\mathfrak{I}) = r_R(\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{I}, R)) = r(R) \stackrel{=: r}{=} .$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence } I = \bar{J}R &= (\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_r) \\ &= (\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_r) \end{aligned}$$

after renumbering of  $x_1, \dots, x_v$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus } J &= (x_1, \dots, x_r) + \text{Ker } \varphi. \\ &\parallel \\ &(x_1, \dots, x_r, x_{r+1}, \dots, x_v) \end{aligned}$$

For  $r+1 \leq i \leq v$ , write

$$x_i = \sum_{j=1}^r \underbrace{c_{ij}}_R x_j + \underbrace{y_i}_{\text{Ker } \varphi}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Set } X &:= (x_1, \dots, x_r) \\ \gamma &:= (y_{r+1}, \dots, y_v). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } & \left[ \begin{array}{l} J = X + \text{Ker } \varphi = X + \gamma \\ \cup \\ \text{Ker } \varphi \\ \cup \\ J^2 + \gamma = X^2 + \gamma \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{l} \text{Is } (X + \gamma / X^2 + \gamma) \\ = r \cdot \text{Is } (S/J). \end{array} \end{aligned}$$

since  $I^2 = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Therefore, } \text{Ker } \varphi &= \mathcal{J}^2 + \mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{X}^2 + \mathcal{Y}. \\ &= (x_1, \dots, x_r)^2 + (y_{m+1}, \dots, y_n), \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{i.e. } R \cong \frac{S}{(x_1, \dots, x_r)^2 + (y_{m+1}, \dots, y_n)}$$

